

New York State Grade 4-5 Expository Writing Evaluation Rubric

| CRITERIA | CCLS | SCORE | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | 4 Essays at this level: | 3 Essays at this level: | 2 Essays at this level: | 1 Essays at this level | 0 Essays at this level: |
| CONTENT AND ANALYSIS: the extent to which the essay conveys ideas and information clearly and accurately in order to support an analysis of topics or texts | W.2 R.1-9 | —clearly introduce a topic in a manner that follows logically from the task and purpose —demonstrate insightful comprehension and analysis of the text(s) | —clearly introduce a topic in a manner that follows from the task and purpose —demonstrate grade-appropriate comprehension and analysis of the text(s) | —introduce a topic in a manner that follows generally from the task and purpose —demonstrate a literal comprehension of the text(s) | —introduce a topic in a manner that does not logically follow from the task and purpose —demonstrate little understanding of the text(s) | —demonstrate a lack of comprehension of the text(s) or task |
| COMMAND OF EVIDENCE: the extent to which the essay presents evidence from the provided texts to support analysis and reflection | W.2 W.9 R.1-9 | —develop the topic with relevant, well-chosen facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) —sustain the use of varied, relevant evidence | —develop the topic with relevant facts, definitions, details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) —sustain the use of relevant evidence, with some lack of variety | —partially develop the topic of the essay with the use of some textual evidence, some of which may be irrelevant —use relevant evidence inconsistently | —demonstrate an attempt to use evidence, but only develop ideas with minimal, occasional evidence which is generally invalid or irrelevant | —provide no evidence or provide evidence that is completely irrelevant |
| COHERENCE, ORGANIZATION, AND STYLE: the extent to which the essay logically organizes complex ideas, concepts, and information using formal style and precise language | W.2 L.3 L.6 | —exhibit clear, purposeful organization —skillfully link ideas using grade-appropriate words and phrases —use grade-appropriate, stylistically sophisticated language and domain-specific vocabulary —provide a concluding statement that follows clearly from the topic and information presented | —exhibit clear organization —link ideas using grade-appropriate words and phrases —use grade-appropriate precise language and domain-specific vocabulary —provide a concluding statement that follows from the topic and information presented | —exhibit some attempt at organization —inconsistently link ideas using words and phrases —inconsistently use appropriate language and domain-specific vocabulary —provide a concluding statement that follows generally from the topic and information presented | —exhibit little attempt at organization, or attempts to organize are irrelevant to the task —lack the use of linking words and phrases —use language that is imprecise or inappropriate for the text(s) and task —provide a concluding statement that is illogical or unrelated to the topic and information presented | —exhibit no evidence of organization —exhibit no use of linking words and phrases —use language that is predominantly incoherent or copied directly from the text(s) —do not provide a concluding statement |
| CONTROL OF CONVENTIONS: the extent to which the essay demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling | W.2 L.1 L.2 | —demonstrate grade-appropriate command of conventions, with few errors | —demonstrate grade-appropriate command of conventions, with occasional errors that do not hinder comprehension | —demonstrate emerging command of conventions, with some errors that may hinder comprehension | —demonstrate a lack of command of conventions, with frequent errors that hinder comprehension | —are minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable |

- If the prompt requires two texts and the student only references one text, the response can be scored no higher than a 2.
- If the student writes only a personal response and makes no reference to the text(s), the response can be scored no higher than a 1.
- Responses totally unrelated to the topic, illegible, incoherent, or blank should be given a 0.
- A response totally copied from the text(s) with no original student writing should be scored a 0.